



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,202	09/26/2001	Paula N. Belloni	9080-011-999	5269
24372 759	90 02/26/2004	EXAMINER		INER
ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC			GEORGE, KONATA M	
PATENT LAW DEPT. M/S A2-250 3431 HILLVIEW AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) L Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/966,202

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 6-37 and 43-46 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

1. The rejection of claims 1, 6-37 and 43-46 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Tong et al. is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 33-35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been described in *In Re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of the invention; (2) the state of the prior art; (3) the relative skill of those in the art; (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) breadth of the claims; (6) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary. When the above factors are weighed, it

Application/Control Number: 09/966,202

Art Unit: 1616

is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

(1) The nature of the claims:

The claims are drawn to a method of preventing emphysema and a composition used to prevent emphysema by administering a composition containing 13-*cis*-retinoic acid or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt, hydrate, solvate or pro-drug thereof.

- (2) The state of the prior art:
- (3) The relative skill of those in the art:

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

(4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The art pertaining to preventing emphysema is highly unpredictable. Because it is not known of all the factors that led to emphysema it is different to prevent the disease.

(5) The breadth of the claims:

The claims are very limiting to the use of 13-cis-retinoic acid to prevent emphysema.

(6) The amount of guidance presented:

The specification, disclose methods of treating emphysema in rats with elastase-induced emphysema by administering an oral dosage of 13-*cis*-retinoic acid.

(7) The presence or absence of working examples:

While the specification provides working examples of how to treat emphysema it does not however, provide a working example of preventing emphysema.

(8) The quantity of experimentation necessary:

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claim. In particular, the specification failed to enable the skilled artisan to practice the invention without undue experimentation.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 6-32, 36 and 43-46 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. Claims 33-35 and 37 stand rejected.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached at (571) 272-0602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

SHELLEY A. DODSON PRIMARY EXAMINER